

2020

STATISTICS — HONOURS

Paper : DSE-A-2

(Econometrics)

Full Marks : 50

The figures in the margin indicate full marks.

*Candidates are required to give their answers in their own words
as far as practicable.*

1. Answer **any ten** questions :

1×10

- (a) Distinguish between an *economic* model and an *econometric* model.
- (b) The expenditure (E) of a household on a particular commodity depends on the income (I) of the household and the price level (P). An economic model is set up as follows :
- $$E = \alpha I^\beta P^\gamma$$
- Give economic interpretations of the parameters β and γ .
- (c) When is it necessary to transform the *response* variable?
- (d) If in a three-regressor model, the response y is linear with respect to x_1 and x_2 , but has a non-linear relationship with x_3 , how will you set up your model?
- (e) A salesman receives a commission on the number of units sold (x) of a commodity. The rate of commission is β_1 for the first 500 units, $\beta_2 (> \beta_1)$ for the next 1000 units and $\beta_3 (> \beta_2)$ for all units above 1000. Set up a model to show how the salesman's total commission (y) changes with units sold.
- (f) For a regression model with a continuous response variable and a single binary regressor (x), the regression coefficients are (intercept = 5, x -coefficient = 2). Give a rough sketch of the predicted response against the regressor.
- (g) For the linear model, $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + u_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, state the *necessary* conditions under which the ordinary least squares estimators of the regression parameters are *best linear unbiased*.
- (h) What effect will the use of *ordinary least squares* in the presence of heteroscedasticity have in interval estimation of regression parameters?
- (i) While performing a Glejser's test for checking heteroscedasticity, the intercept comes out to be significant, but the slope (of the single regressor) is insignificant. What would be your inference?
- (j) The problem of autocorrelation is discussed only in terms of time indexed data. Why is the problem not addressed for cross-sectional data?
- (k) What would be your conclusion if the Durbin-Watson statistic comes out to be 3.8?
- (l) Given three regressors which exhibit multicollinearity, how will you decide which variable(s) to drop to get rid of the problem?

Please Turn Over

- (m) What is the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)?
- (n) What problem do measurement error of response variables create?
- (o) What are *instrumental variables*?

2. Answer **any four** questions : 5×4

- (a) Set up a regression model with income as response and years of experience (continuous), gender (male/female) and educational qualification (Madhyamik/HS/Graduate/Post graduate) as regressors, with gender also affecting the *increment* in income with years of experience.
- (b) For the linear regression model, $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + u_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with u_i identically and independently distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2 , find an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .
- (c) For a p -variable model with error terms having a non-spherical distribution, show that the generalized least squares give *better* estimators than the ordinary least squares.
- (d) How will you estimate the regression parameters of a first-order autocorrelated error model when the autoregressive parameter is unknown?
- (e) Why is the ordinary least squares method not used for estimating the regression parameters in the presence of multicollinearity?
- (f) Justify the use of Bartlett's method in estimating the parameters of a single-regressor model when the regressor is measured with error. Derive the estimators.

3. Answer **any two** questions : 10×2

- (a) In the linear regression model,

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

Where the u_i s are independently distributed with zero mean, it is conjectured that $V(u_i)$ is proportional to the inverse of $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{1i}$.

- (i) Describe Goldfeld-Quandt's technique to test this conjecture.
- (ii) If the conjecture is correct, describe how you will estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$.

- (b) Consider the model,

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + u_t,$$
$$u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n,$$

where ε_t are identically and independently distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2 .

Write $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)'$.

- (i) Find $E(u)$ and the error dispersion matrix $D(u)$.
 - (ii) To test $H_0 : \rho = 0$, why cannot a simple t -test, based on the autoregressive equation, be used? Can we use the residuals instead? Or else, how will you perform the test?
- (c) (i) Why is multicollinearity referred to as a *sample phenomenon*? Explain in this context why getting more data often helps to overcome multicollinearity.
 - (ii) What are the consequences of the presence of multicollinearity? Justify the use of Condition Numbers to detect it.
-